## REMARKS

Support for the language sought to be introduced in Claims 1, 2, 9 and 13 respecting the polar and non-polar monomers is found in page 4 lines 15 to 27. The language detailing the transition metal compound introduced in Claims 1, 5, 13 and 16 finds support in the specification in page 5, lines 1-17.

The amendment to Claim10 is believed consistent with the Examiner's suggestion in respect thereto.

The amendments to the several claims are believed to address and overcome the stated rejections under section 112.

The Examiner's perceived equivalents of Claims 10, 21 and 22 - paragraph 5 of the Action - have been noted by the Applicants.

Claims 1-10 and 13-20 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) said to be anticipated by Kawasaki et al (U.S. Patent 3,755,275).

Claims 5-7, 16, 18 and 19 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) said to be anticipated by Grubbs et al (U.S. Patent 5,728,785).

Claims 1-11 and 13-21 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) said to be anticipated by Kuntzl (U.S. Patent 4,175,017).

Claims 5-9 and 16-20 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) said to be anticipated by Throckmorton et al (U.S. Patent 4,168,244).

As presently amended, the invention requires the transition metal compounds to conform to a specific formula. In these compounds, "L" is a 2-, 3- or 4-dentate chelating ligand.

As none of the cited documents disclose such transition metal compounds, none can validly be said to anticipate the present claims.

The rejections alleging anticipation are believed overcome by the present amendment.

Mo6856



Creation date: 03-04-2004

Indexing Officer: CPHAN - COUNG PHAN

Team: OIPEBackFileIndexing

Dossier: 10019577

Legal Date: 11-25-2003

No.	Doccode	Number of pages
1	CTFR	6

Total number of pages: 6

Remarks:

Order of re-scan issued on .....